# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **Executive Summary**

#### **Table of Contents**

### **Acronyms**

Chapter 1: In	troduction	1-1
1.1 Ozone	and Its Effects	1-1
1.1.1 He	alth Benefits of 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standard	1-2
	ner Effects of Ozone	
1.2 Addres	sing Ozone through Ambient Standards	1-5
	A's Ozone NAAQS	
1.2.2 Ca	lifornia Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS)	1-8
	g Federal SIP Requirements	
	9 Principles	
	Process	
Chapter 2: A	ir Quality in the Valley: Challenges and Progress	2-1
	Life Cycle	
2.2 Air Qua	ality Challenges	2-3
	allenges of the Valley's Natural Environment	
	gional Challenges and Regulatory Limits	
	Air Quality Data and Progress	
	one Monitoring Network	
	Quality Progress	
2.4 Conclu	sion	2-18
Chapter 3: A	ttainment Strategy	3-1
3.1 Applica	ble Attainment Strategy Requirements	3-1
	ew of Sources and Control Options	
3.3 District	Control Strategy	3-4
3.3.1 Cu	rrent Control Program	3-4
3.3.2 Su	mmary of Control Measure Review Process	3-8
3.3.3 Co	nclusions for Regulatory Control Measure Analysis	3-9
3.3.4 Be	yond Regulatory Control Measures	3-10
3.4 Metrop	olitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)	3-18
3.5 CARB	Mobile Source Control Strategy	3-18
	rrent Control Strategy for Area Sources	
	RB Commitments for the San Joaquin Valley	
	State Agencies	
3.7 Federa	I Control Opportunities	3-34

Chapter 4: Mobile Source Advocacy and Leveraging New Opportunities	4-1
4.1 Importance of Funding	
4.2 Need for Mobile Source Emissions Reductions	
Chapter 5: Attainment Demonstration	
5.1 Modeling Attainment Demonstration	5-1
5.2 Modeling	
5.3 Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACM) Demonstration	5-6
5.3.1 District RACM Demonstration	5-6
5.3.2 CARB RACM Demonstration	
5.3.3 Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) RACM Demonstration	າ5-19
5.3.4 RACM Demonstration Conclusion	
Chapter 6: Incremental Progress	6-1
6.1 Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	6-1
6.2 Contingency for Attainment	
6.2.1 What is a contingency measure?	6-4
6.2.2 Background	
6.2.3 CARB's Opportunities for Contingency Measures	6-9
6.2.4 San Joaquin Valley's Opportunities for Contingency Measures	6-12
6.2.5 Summary	

# **Appendices**

Appe	ndix A: Ambient Air Quality Data	A-1
	Ambient Air Quality Data	
	rend and Spatial Variations	
	2.1 Number of Days Above the 8-hour Ozone NAAQS	
	2.2 Monthly Assessment of Days Above the 8-hour Ozone NAAQS	
	Difference Between Urban and Rural Ozone Response	A-13
	Vildfire Impacts	
,		
Appe	endix B: Emissions Inventory	B-1
B.1	Introduction	B-1
B.2	Emissions Inventory Tables	B-3
B.3	Emission Statements	
B.4	Emissions Inventory Documentation for the San Joaquin Valley 2022 70 pp	ob 8-
	hour Ozone State Implementation Plan	
B.4	·	
	.2 Emissions Inventory Overview	
	3 Emission Inventory Components	
Appe	endix C: Stationary Control Measures	
	ol Measure Evaluation Methodology	
	Development Process	
C.1	Rule 4103 Open Burning	
C.2	Rule 4104 Reduction of Animal Matter	
C.3	Rule 4106 Prescribed Burning and Hazard Reduction Burning	
C.4	Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment	
C.5	Rule 4302 Incinerator Burning	
C.6	Rule 4306 and Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boil	
0.0	Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr	
C.7	Rule 4307 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters—2.0 MMBtu/h	
0.7	MMBtu/hr	
C.8	Rule 4308 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters–0.075 MMBt	
0.0	less than 2.0 MMBtu/hr	
C.9	Rule 4309 Dryers, Dehydrators, and Ovens	
	Rule 4311 Flares	• ••
	Rule 4313 Lime Kilns	
	Rule 4352 Solid Fuel Fired Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Hea	
0.12	Nuie 4332 30iid i dei i iled Bollers, Steam Generators, and Frocess riea	
C.13	Rule 4354 Glass Melting Furnaces	
C.13	Rule 4401 Steam-Enhanced Crude Oil Production Wells	
C.14	Rule 4401 Steam-Enhanced Crude Oil Production Wells	
_		
	Rule 4404 Heavy Oil Test Station —Kern County	
C.17		
C.18	Rule 4408 Glycol Dehydration Systems	Ს-ๅฃ๘

C.19	Rule 4409	Components at Light Crude Oil Production Facilities, Natural G	as
	Production	Facilities, and Natural Gas Processing Facilities	C-105
C.20		Refinery Vacuum Producing Devices or Systems	
C.21		Refinery Process Unit Turnaround	
C.22		Components at Petroleum Refineries, Gas Liquids Processing	
		and Chemical Plants	C-122
C.23		Biosolids, Animal Manure, and Poultry Litter Operations	
C.24		Organic Material Composting Operations	
C.25		Confined Animal Facilities	
C.26		Architectural Coatings	
C.27		Motor Vehicle Assembly Coatings	
C.28		Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products, Plastic Parts and	
0.20		and Pleasure Crafts	
C.29		Can and Coil Coating Operations	
C.30		Aerospace Assembly and Component Coating Operations	
C.31		Wood Products and Flat Wood Paneling Products Coating	0 101
0.01		S	C-186
C.32		Graphic Arts and Paper Film, Foil, and Fabric Coatings	
C.33		Glass Coating Operations	
C.34		Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations	
C.35		Gasoline Transfer Into Stationary Storage Containers, Delivery	
0.55		nd Bulk Plants	
C.36		Gasoline Transfer Into Motor Vehicle Fuel Tanks	
C.37		Storage of Organic Liquids	
C.38		Transfer of Organic Liquid	
C.39		Wastewater Separators	
C.40		Cutback, Slow Cure, and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving, and	<b>C-2</b> 33
C.40		ce Operations	C-236
C.41		Solid Waste Disposal Sites	
C.41		Soil Decontamination Operations	
C.42		·	
C.43		Coatings and Ink Manufacturing  Adhesives and Sealants	
C.44 C.45			
		Organic Solvents Degracing Operations	
		Organic Solvent Classing Operations	
C.47		Organic Solvent Cleaning, Storage, and Disposal	
C.48		Petroleum Solvent Dry Cleaning Operations	
C.49		Rubber Tire Manufacturing	C-268
C.50		Polystyrene, Polyethylene, and Polypropylene Products	0.074
0.54		ring	
C.51		Polyester Resin Operations	
C.52		Vegetable Oil Processing Operations	
C.53		Commercial Charbroilers	
C.54		Bakery Ovens	
C.55		Wine Fermentation and Storage Tanks	
C.56		Brandy Aging and Wine Aging Operations	
C.57	Rule 4702	Internal Combustion Engines	C-289

C.58	Rule 4703 Stationary Gas Turbines	C-300
C.59	Rule 4902 Residential Water Heaters	C-314
C.60	Rule 4905 Natural Gas-Fired, Fan-Type Central Furnaces	C-319
C.61	Emission Inventory Codes	
	ndix D: Mobile Source Control Measures	
	ey Mobile Source Regulations and Programs Providing Emission Reduc	
D.1.		
D.1.	, ,	
D.1.		
D.1.		
	District Innovative Mobile Source Strategies	
D.2.		
D.2.	1 <b>/</b> 1	
D.2.	1 5 1 1	
D.3	Transportation Conformity	
D.3.		
D.3.		
D.3.	3 Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets	D-33
D.3.	4 Local Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACM)	D-41
D.4 \	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Offsets	D-50
Attach	ment A: Local Transportation Control Measure Review and Reasonably	Available
Contro	ol Measure Analysis for the San Joaquin Valley 2022 Ozone State Imple	mentation
Plan		D-52
	ndix E: Incentives	
E.1 Di	strict Experience to Date in Administering and Implementing Incentive F	
		E-1
	P Creditability for Incentive-Based Emissions Reductions	
	centive Funding Sources	
E.4 Ind	centive Strategy	
E.4.		
E.4.	2 Inventory of Available Projects	E-4
E.4.	3 Required Expenditure Timeframes	E-4
E.4.	4 Upcoming Regulatory Deadlines	E-4
E.4.	5 Health Benefits	E-4
E.4.		
E.4.	7 Community Involvement and Benefits	E-5
E.5 St	atutory Constraints on Incentive Funding	E-5
	strict Incentive Programs	
E.6.	•	
E.6.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
E.6.		
E.6.		
E.6.		
	6 Locomotives	

E.6.7	Electric School Bus Replacement	E-12
E.6.8	Alternative Fuel Infrastructure	
E.7 Comi	munity Based Incentives	E-14
E.7.1	Drive Clean in the San Joaquin (Repair and Replace)	E-14
E.7.2	Clean Green Yard Machine	
E.7.3	Drive Clean! Rebate Program	
E.7.4	Public Benefit Grants Program	
E.7.5	REduce MOtor Vehicle Emissions (REMOVE)	
E.7.6	Vanpool Voucher Incentive Program	
E.7.7	Charge Up! Program	
	nology Advancement	
Appendix	x F: Modeling Protocol and Attainment Demonstration	F-1
F.1 Introd	duction	F-1
F.2 Meth	odology	F-4
F.2.1	Meteorological Modeling	
F.2.2	Emissions	
F.2.3	Air Quality Modeling	
F.3 Resu	ılts	
F.3.1	Meteorological Model Evaluation	F-18
F.3.2	Phenomenological Evaluation	
F.3.3	Air Quality Model Evaluation	
F.3.4	Air Quality Model Diagnostic Evaluation	
F.3.5	Future Design Values	
F.3.6	NOx/VOC Sensitivity Analysis for Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	F-51
F.3.7	Unmonitored Area Analysis	
F.4 Refe	rences	F-58
F.5 Supp	lemental Materials	F-64
Appendix	κ G: Modeling Emission Inventory	G-1
	elopment of Ozone Emissions Inventories	
G.1.1	Inventory Coordination	G-1
G.1.2	Background	G-1
G.1.3	Inventory Years	G-2
G.1.4	Spatial Extent of Emission Inventories	G-3
G.2 Estin	nation of Base Year Modeling Inventory	
G.2.1	Terminology	G-6
G.2.2	Emissions Inventory	G-7
G.2.3	Temporal Distribution of Emissions	
G.2.4	Spatial Allocation	G-15
G.2.5	Speciation Profiles	
	nodology for Developing Base Case, Baseline, and Future Projected Em	
	ntories	
G.3.1	Estimation of Gridded Area and Point sources	G-19
G.3.2	Estimation of On-road Motor Vehicle Emissions	G-20
G.3.3	Estimation of Gridded Biogenic Emissions	G-26

G.3.4 Aircraft	Emissions	. G-26
G.3.5 Estimat	ion of Ocean-going Vessel (OGV) Emissions	. G-27
G.3.6 Estimat	ion of Other Day-specific Sources	. G-27
G.3.7 Norther	n Mexico Emissions	. G-30
G.3.8 Western	n States Emissions	. G-34
G.3.9 Applica	tion of Control Measure Reduction Factors	. G-35
	tion of Emission Reduction Credits	
G.4 Quality Assur	ance of Modeling Inventories	. G-35
G.4.1 Area ar	nd Point Sources	. G-35
G.4.2 On-road	d Emissions	. G-38
G.4.3 Aircraft	Emissions	. G-38
G.4.4 Day-spe	ecific Sources	. G-38
G.4.5 Addition	nal Quality Assurance	. G-39
G.4.6 Model-r	eady Files Quality Assurance	. G-42
G.6 Appendix A: I	Day-of-week Redistribution Factors by Vehicle Type and County	. G-46
	Hour-of-day Profiles by Vehicle Type and County	
	Additional Temporal Profiles	
G.9 Appendix D:	Spatial Surrogate Assignments	. G-91
Appendix H: Weight	ght of Evidence	H-1
H.1 Introduction.	-	H-1
H.1.1 Elemen	ts Commonly Included in an Attainment Demonstration	H-1
H.1.2 Physica	Il Context	H-1
H.1.3 Recent	Air Quality	H-5
H.2 Assessment	of Valley-Wide Air Quality Progress	H-6
H.3 Recent Trend	ds (2000-2020)	H-8
	Vide Perspective	
	al Trends	
H.4 Wildfires		. H-23
H.4.1 Wildfire	Information	. H-23
H.4.2 Summa	ry of Event	. H-28
H.4.3 PM <sub>2.5</sub>		. H-31
H.4.4 Adjuste	d Design Values	. H-35
H.5 Meteorology	and Air Quality Trends	. H-45
H.5.1 Suitabili	ity of 2018 as a Base Year for Modeling	. H-45
	ology-Adjusted Ozone Trends – Seasonal Averages by Region	
	ecursor Émissions	
H.7 Trends for Oz	zone Precursor Concentrations	. H-61
	s of PAMS Data	
	s of Routine Ambient NO <sub>x</sub> Data	
	ect in the San Joaquin Valley	
	ook: 2021 Ozone Data	
-		

Appendix I: Emission Reduction Credits	I-1
I.1 Introduction	
I.1.1 Pre-Baseline Emission Reduction Credits	
Appendix J: Triennial Progress Report for State Standards	J-1
J.1 Introduction	
J.2 Meeting State Requirements for Plan Revisions	
J.3 Attainment by the Earliest Practicable Date	
J.4 Air Quality Improvements	
J.4.1 Designation Values for the Valley	
J.4.2 Population Exposure	
J.5 Emission Reductions	
J.6 Control Strategy and Cost-Effectiveness Ranking	
J.7 Emissions Trends	
Appendix K: Summary of Comments and Responses	K-1

## **TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1	Ozone Formation	1-2
Figure 1-2	Public Health Benefits of 70 ppb Ozone Standard in California	1-4
Figure 2-1	Ozone Life Cycle	2-2
Figure 2-2	San Joaquin Valley Air Basin	2-3
	Effect of Temperature Inversion on Pollutant Dispersion	
	Drought Extent and Severity in California	
	2022 NOx Emissions Inventory Jurisdictional Authority	
	Ozone Monitoring Sites within the Valley Air Basin2	
	NOx Emissions Reductions, 1980-20212	
	Improvement in 8-hour Ozone Design Values, 2000-20192	
Figure 2-9	Basin Days Over the 1979 1-hour Ozone Standard2	-14
	Display State of the 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standard	
•	1 Basin Maximum 8-Hour Average Ozone Concentrations2	
	2 Decrease in Valley's 8-hr Ozone Design Values2	
Figure 3-1	Jurisdiction of Federal, State, and Local Agencies	3-4
	Major Reductions in Air Pollution	
Figure 4-2	Jurisdiction Comparison of Statewide Mobile Source Emissions	4-4
Figure 5-1	Reductions through Attainment Year	5-2
Figure 6-1	Contingency Measure Years	6-8
	Governor Newsom Executive Order N-79-206	
Figure Δ-1	Valley Maximum 8-hour Ozone Design Value Trend	Δ-6
	8-Hour Ozone Design Value (2020)	
	Number of Days Valley Exceeded the 8-hour Ozone Standard	
	Frequency of Days Valley Exceeded the 2015 8-hour Ozone StandardA	
	Frequency of Days Valley Exceeded the 2008 8-hour Ozone StandardA	
	Frequency of Days Valley Exceeded the 1997 8-hour Ozone StandardA	
	Temporal Changes in Ozone Concentrations at Ash Mountain and	
-	I-Municipal Airport on August 23, 2019A	-15
Dakoronolo	1 Maritolpar / Aliport Off / August 20, 20 10	10
Figure C-1	Rule Development Process	C-6
	Smoke Management System Burn Allocation Zones	
Figure D-1	Light-Duty Emission Standards	D-2
Figure D-2	Off-Road Vehicle and Equipment Control Programs	-18
Figure F-1	Map of California (left) along with the location of San Joaquin Valley (SJV	') in
	The shaded and gray line contours denote the gradients in topography (km	
_	pox of the left panel is the California statewide 12 km modeling domain, wh	,

the inner box shows the 4 km modeling domain covering Central California. The insert on the right shows the zoomed-in view of the spatial extent (magenta line) and approximate regional boundary along with the location of sites in the Northern (red triangle markers), Central (red circle makers) and Southern (red square markers) sub regions in the Valley. (Figure adapted from Kulkarni et al., 2014)......F-2 Figure F-2 Trend in summer emissions of NOx and ROG (tons per day), Maximum Daily Average 8-hour Ozone Design Value (ppb) and 70 ppb 8-hour Ozone NAAQS exceedance days between 2000 and 2020 in the SJV. Anthropogenic Emissions estimates are from the California Emission Projection Model (CEPAM) 2019 Ozone SIP Baseline Projection Version 1.04 with 2017 base year. 2018 biogenic ROG emissions are from MEGAN 3.0 biogenic model calculations. ......F-3 Figure F-3 Example showing how the location of the MDA8 ozone for the top ten days in the reference and future years are chosen......F-9 Figure F-4 WRF modeling domains (D01 36 km; D02 12 km; and D03 4 km). ......F-11 Figure F-5 Monthly average biogenic ROG emissions for 2018......F-15 Figure F-6 Monthly average soil NOx emissions for 2018.....F-16 Figure F-7 Meteorological monitoring sites in the San Joaquin Valley: red markers represent sites in the northern SJV; green markers represent sites in the central SJV, while blue markers represent sites in the southern SJV......F-19 Figure F-8 Distribution of hourly mean bias (left) and mean error (right) for April-October 2018. Results are shown for wind speed (top), temperature (middle), and RH (bottom)......F-25 Figure F-9 Spatial distribution of mean bias (left) and mean error (right) for April-October 2018. Results are shown for wind speed (top), temperature (middle), and RH (bottom)......F-26 Figure F-10 Comparison of modeled and observed hourly wind speed (left column), 2meter temperature (middle column), and relative humidity (right column). Results for the Northern SJV are shown in the top row, Central SJV in the middle row, and Southern SJV in the bottom row. .....F-27 Figure F-11 Surface wind field at 13:00 PST (top) and 20:00 PST (bottom) on July 31. 2018. Modeled wind field is shown with black wind vectors, while observations are shown in red......F-29 Figure F-12 Average wind field at 14:00 PST (top) and 21:00 PST (bottom) for the top 10 observed ozone days at Edison monitoring site in 2018. Modeled wind field is shown with black wind vectors, while observations are shown in red......F-31 Figure F-13 Modeled and observed 500 hPa geopotential height at 00:00 UTC (top) and 12:00 UTC (bottom) for the top 10 observed ozone days at the Edison monitoring site in 2018. ..... F-33 Figure F-14 Comparison of various statistical metrics from the attainment demonstration modeling to the range of statistics from the 69 peer-reviewed studies summarized in Simon et al (2012). (MDA denotes Maximum Daily Average). Red circular markers show statistics calculated from modeled ozone at the monitor location, while blue triangular markers show statistics calculate from the maximum ozone in the

3x3 array of grid cells surrounding the monitor. Statistics for hourly ozone were only
calculated from data over 60 ppbF-40
Figure F-15 Average MDA8 ozone for the top 10 ozone days from the model
simulations overlaid with observation data (marked as circle), where the top 10 days
from the observations were chosen based on the Edison monitorF-41
Figure F-16 Illustration of a typical ozone isopleth plot, where each line represents
ozone mixing ratio, in 10 ppb increments, as a function of initial NOx and VOC (or ROG)
mixing ratio (adapted from (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998), Figure 5.15). General chemical
regimes for ozone formation are shown as NOx-disbenefit (red circle), transitional (blue
circle), and NOx-limited (green circle).
Figure F-17 Site-specific average weekday and weekend maximum daily average 8-
hour ozone for each year from 2000 to 2020 for the Northern SJV (top), Central SJV
(middle), and Southern SJV (bottom). The colored circle markers denote observed
values while the dark gray square and light gray triangle markers denote the simulated
baseline 2018 and future 2037 values. Points falling below the 1:1 dashed line
represent a NOx-disbenefit regime, those on the 1:1 dashed line represent a transitional
regime, and those above the 1:1 dashed line represent a NOx-limited regimeF-44
Figure F-18 Spatial distribution of the future 2037 DVs based on the unmonitored area
analysis in the Valley (left), and the population distribution in the Valley (right) based on
2020 US census dataF-55
Figure F-19 Spatially interpolated 2018 base year DVs with gradient adjustment based
on the unmonitored area analysis (left), and the RRF calculated for each grid (right) F-57
Figure F-20 Spatially interpolated 2018 base year DVs with gradient adjustment based
on the unmonitored area analysis (left), and the spatial distribution of the future year
2037 DVs using modeling data of July – October (right)
Figure C.1. Spatial according of amigaione grid with papattainment area highlighted in
Figure G-1 Spatial coverage of emissions grid with nonattainment area highlighted in yellow
Figure G-2 San Joaquin Valley Nonattainment area highlighted in Northern California
with statewide 4km grid overlayed
Figure G-3 Workflow for spatial and temporal allocation of on-road emissions G-25
Figure G-4 Outline of Mexico municipalities included in California air quality
simulations. The grey box outlines the boundaries of the CAState_4km modeling
domain G-31
Figure G-5 Example of an ROG spatial plot by source category (Consumer Products)
Figure G-6 Comparison of inventories report
Figure G-7 Daily variation of NOx emissions for sources in the San Joaquin Valley
2018 G-41
Figure G-8 Annual processed emissions example for 2018 San Joaquin Valley
Nonattainment Area NOx for area, on-road, and point sources
Figure G-9 Example timeseries plot for daily 2018 NOx emissions from area, on-road,
and point sources for San Joaquin Valley Nonattainment Area
Figure H-1 San Joaquin Valley Wind Pattern During the Ozone Season H-3
Figure H-2 Active Ozone Monitoring Sites in San Joaquin Valley Air Basin H-4

Figure H-3 Design Value Trend for the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin	. H-7
Figure H-4 Ozone Trends (2000-2020) in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin	. H-8
Figure H-5 Reductions in Levels of Spatial Extent of Elevated Design Values in the	San
Joaquin Valley Air Basin	. H-9
Figure H-6 Counties and Regions in the San Joaquin Valley	H-10
Figure H-7 Active Ozone Sites in the Northern San Joaquin Valley	
Figure H-8 Ozone Trends for Turlock – S Minaret Street Monitoring Station (2000–	
2020)	H-12
Figure H-9 Ozone Trends for Modesto -14th Street Monitoring Station (2000–2020)	
Figure H-10 Ozone Trends for Merced – Coffee Road Monitoring Station (2000–	
,	H-13
Figure H-11 Ozone Trends for Tracy – Airport Monitoring Station (2008-2020)	
Figure H-12 Active Ozone Sites in the Central San Joaquin Valley	H-14
Figure H-13 Ozone Trends for Clovis – N Villa Avenue Monitoring Station (2000-	
2020)	H-15
Figure H-14 Ozone Trends for Fresno – 1st street and Garland Street Monitoring	
	H-15
Figure H-15 Ozone Trends for Parlier Street Monitoring Station (2000-2020)	
Figure H-16 Ozone Trends for Fresno - Drummond Monitoring Station (2000-2020)	
	H-16
Figure H-17 Ozone Trends for Hanford – S Irwin Street Monitoring Station (2000-	
2020)	H-17
Figure H-18 Active Ozone Sites in the Southern San Joaquin Valley	H-18
Figure H-19 Ozone Trends for Edison Monitoring Station (2000-2020)	H-19
Figure H-20 Ozone Trends for Arvin – Di Giorgio Monitoring Station (2012-2020)	
Figure H-21 Ozone Trends for Bakersfield – California Avenue Monitoring Station	
	H-20
Figure H-22 Ozone Trends for Maricopa Monitoring Station (2001-2020)	
Figure H-23 Ozone Trends for Bakersfield – Municipal Airport Monitoring Station (2	
2020)	
Figure H-24 Active Ozone Sites in Sequoia National Park	
Figure H-25 Ozone Trends for Sequoia National Park – Ash Mountain Monitoring	
· ·	H-22
Figure H-26 Ozone Trends for Sequoia National Park – Lower Kaweah Monitoring	1122
Station (2001-2020)	H-23
Figure H-27 Daily PM2.5 Data for July 2016	i i-∠J ⊔ 21
Figure H-28 Daily PM2.5 Data for August 2016	
Figure H-29 Daily PM2.5 Data for August and September 2017	
Figure H-30 Daily PM2.5 Data for July and August 2018	
Figure H-31 Daily PM2.5 Data for September 2018	
Figure H-32 Daily PM2.5 Data for August and early September 2020	
Figure H-33 Daily PM2.5 Data for mid-September and October 2020	
Figure H-34 Official and Adjusted Design Value Trends for the San Joaquin Valley	
	H-35
Figure H-35 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Turlock – S Minaret Street Monitoring Stati	
(2000–2020)	H-37

Figure H-36 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Modesto -14 <sup>th</sup> Street Monitoring Station (2000–2020)
2020)
\2000-2020 <i>)</i>
Figure H-38 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Fresno – 1st street and Garland Street  Monitoring Station (2000-2020)
Figure H-39 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Parlier Street Monitoring Station (2000-
2020)H-40
2020)
2020)
Figure H-41 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Hanford – S Irwin Street Monitoring Station
(2000-2020)
Figure H-42 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Edison Monitoring Station (2000-2020) H-42
Figure H-43 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Arvin – Di Giorgio Monitoring Station (2012-
2020)
Pigure H-44 2010-2020 Adjusted DV for bakersheid – California Avenue Monitoring
Station (2000-2020)
Figure H-45 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Maricopa Monitoring Station (2001-2020) H-43
Figure H-46 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Bakersfield – Municipal Airport Monitoring
Station (2014-2020)
Figure H-47 2016-2020 Adjusted DV for Sequoia National Park – Ash Mountain
Monitoring Station (2001-2020)
Figure H-48 OFP vs Observed Daily Max 8-Hour Ozone in Northern SJV H-47
Figure H-49 OFP vs Observed Daily Max 8-Hour Ozone in Central SJV H-47
Figure H-50 OFP vs Observed Daily Max 8-Hour Ozone in Southern SJV H-48
Figure H-51 OFP in Northern SJV H-49
Figure H-52 OFP in Central SJV H-49
Figure H-53 OFP in Southern SJV H-50
Figure H-54 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Temperature in the
Northern SJV H-51
Figure H-55 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Wind Speed in the
Northern SJV H-51
Figure H-56 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Temperature in the
Central SJV H-52
Figure H-57 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Wind Speed in the
Central SJV H-52
Figure H-58 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Temperature in the
Southern SJV H-53
Figure H-59 Percentiles for Midday (10 a.m. to 4 p.m.) Average Wind Speed in the
Southern SJV H-53
Figure H-60 Meteorology-adjusted Season Average of Daily Maximum 8-Hour Ozone
Concentrations for Northern SJV
Figure H-61 Meteorology-adjusted Season Average of Daily Maximum 8-Hour Ozone
Concentrations for Central SJVH-56
Figure H-62 Meteorology-adjusted Season Average of Daily Maximum 8-Hour Ozone
Concentrations for Southern SJVH-56

## **TABLE OF TABLES**

Table 1-1 Federal Air Quality Standards and Valley Milestones for Ozone	
Table 1-2 Summary of Federal Requirements	
Table 1-3 2022 Ozone Plan Meetings	1-11
Table 2-1 Estimated Valley Population by County, 2020-2035	2-7
Table 2-2 Ozone Monitoring Site Types in 2020	2-12
Table 2-3 Sample Design Value Calculation (Clovis-Villa, 2020)	2-17
Table 3-1 Adopted District Rules Achieving Reductions from Stationary Source	es in and
After 2017	3-5
Table 3-2 Regulatory Control Measure Commitments	3-9
Table 3-3 Summary of Grant Expenditures and Results	3-10
Table 3-4 Stationary and Area Source Sectors for Further Study	3-11
Table 3-5 Adopted CARB Regulations – Area Sources	3-18
Table 3-6 Measures and Schedule	3-21
Table 3-7 Measures and Schedule	3-22
Table 3-8 Mobile Source Emissions under CARB and District Current Control	Programs
Table 3-9 2016 State SIP Strategy Measures Still to be Adopted	3-24
Table 3-10 San Joaquin Valley NOx Emission Reductions from CARB Program	ns3-25
Table 3-11 San Joaquin Valley Expected NOx Emissions Reductions from the	2022
State SIP Strategy	3-26
Table 5-1 SJV Summer Planning Emissions for 2018 and 2037 (tons/day)	5-4
Table 5-2 Summary of 2018 weighted average and future year 2037 ozone de	
value (DV) at SJV monitoring sites, where the 2037 DVs include the effects of	
commitments but exclude federal clean truck and Tier 5 off-road commitments	
Table 6-1 RFP demonstration for the San Joaquin Valley 70 ppb ozone standa	
Table 6-2 Emissions Sources and Respective CARB Programs with a Zero-Er	
Requirement/Component	
Table 6-3 Adopted District Rules Achieving Reductions from Stationary Source	
After 2017	6-13
Table A-1 Fourth Highest 8-hr Average Ozone (ppm)	A-3
Table A-2 3-yr Average of the Annual Fourth-Highest Daily Maximum 8-hr	
Table A-3 Current 8-hour Ozone Design Values and Attainment Status for the	
Joaquin Valley Air Basin	A-5
Table A-4 Number of Days Above the 2015 8-Hour Ozone Standard	A-9
Table A-5 2015 8-hour Ozone Basin Exceedances by Month from 2000-2021.	
Table A-6 2008 8-hour Ozone Basin Exceedances by Month from 2000-2021.	A-12
Table A-7 1997 8-hour Ozone Basin Exceedances by Month from 2000-2021.	

Table B-1	NOx Emissions (Summer Daily Averages in Tons per Day)	B-3
Table B-2	VOC Emissions (Summer Daily Averages in Tons per Day)	B-8
Table B-3	Valley-Wide Biogenic Emissions for 2018* in Tons per Day	B-14
	Growth Surrogates for Point and Areawide Sources	
	District and CARB Control Rules and Regulations Included in the Inventor	
		B-37
Table B-6	External Adjustment IDs and Descriptions	
. 45.6 2 6		
Table C-1	Rule 4352 NOx, CO, PM10, and SOx Emission Limits	C-81
	San Joaquin Valley Petroleum Refining Operations	
	Confined Animal Facilities Applicability Thresholds	
	Comparison between CARB's Suggested Control Measure for Architecture	
	ngs and SJVAPCD Rule 4601	
	VOC Content Limits for Two-Piece Can Coating Operations	
	VOC Content Limits for Three-Piece Can Coating Operations	
	VOC Content Limits for Drums, Pails and Lids Coating Operations	
	VOC Content Limits for Coil Coating Operations	
	VOC Content Limits for Organic Solvents	
	Applicability of CARB Certification Procedures	
	Applicability of CARB Certification Procedures	
	2 Brandy and Wine Aging Thresholds	
Table C-13	B Emission Inventory Codes	. C-323
	Summary MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) fo	
	within the SJV Region (tons per day)	
	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2023	
	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2026	
Table D-4	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2029	D-37
Table D-5	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2032	D-38
Table D-6	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2035	D-39
Table D-7	MVEB for the 70 ppb Ozone Standard (Summer Season) for 2037	D-40
Table E-1	Summary of Grant Expenditures and Results	E-1
Table E-2	Statutory Constraints on Incentive Funding	E-5
	District Incentive Priorities (2022-2023 Budget)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Table F-1	Data from each year that are utilized in the Design Value calculation for	or a
	ar (DV Year), and the yearly weighting of data for the average Design \	
	(or DVR)	
	Year-specific 8-hour ozone design values for 2018, 2019 and 2020, ar	
	aseline design value (represented as the average of three design value	
2018 at the	e monitoring sites in SJV. 2020 DVs are the two-year averages of the	4th
	nour O3 concentrations from 2018 and 2019.	
	WRF vertical layer structure	
	WRF Physics options	
	SJV Summer Planning Emissions for 2018, 2032, and 2037 (tons/day)	
1 avie F-3	OUV QUITITIEL FIGHTIHU ETHISSIUHS TULZUTO, ZUOZ, AHU ZUOZ HONS/OAV)	┌-⊺4

Table F-6 CMAQ configuration and settings	F-17
Table F-7 Meteorological site location and parameter measured	F-20
Table F-8 Hourly surface wind speed, temperature and relative humidity statistics	by
region for April-October 2018.	F-24
Table F-9 Maximum daily average 8-hour ozone performance statistics by modeling	ng
subregions and entire SJV region for the 2018 ozone season (April - October).	
Maximum daily average 8-hour ozone (>60ppb) with simulated data extracted at gr	id
cell where the monitor is located	F-36
Table F-10 Maximum daily average 8-hour ozone performance statistics by model	ing
subregions and entire SJV region for the 2018 ozone season (April - October).	
Maximum daily average 8-hour ozone (>60ppb) with simulated data extracted from	the
3x3 grid cell array maximum centered at the monitor	F-36
Table F-11 Maximum daily average 1-hour ozone performance statistics by model	ing
subregions and entire SJV region for the 2018 ozone season (April - October).	
Maximum daily average 1-hour ozone (>60ppb) with simulated data extracted at gr	id
	F-37
Table F-12 Maximum daily average 1-hour ozone performance statistics by model	ing
subregions and entire SJV region for the 2018 ozone season (April - October).	
Maximum daily average 1-hour ozone (>60ppb) with simulated data extracted from	
3x3 grid cell array maximum centered at the monitor	F-38
Table F-13 Hourly ozone performance statistics by modeling subregions and entire	e SJV
region for the 2018 ozone season (April - October). Hourly ozone (>60ppb) with	
simulated data extracted at grid cell where the monitor is located. Note that only	
statistics for the grid cell in which the monitor is located were calculated for hourly	
ozone	F-38
Table F-14 Summary of key parameters related to the future year 2037 ozone des	-
value (DV) calculation, where the 2037 DVs include federal clean truck and Tier 5	
road commitments	F-47
Table F-15 Summary of key parameters related to the future year 2037 ozone des	_
value (DV) calculation excluding federal clean truck and Tier 5 off-road commitmer	
T.I. 540.0	F-49
Table F-16 Summary of the ozone improvement from the 60% emissions reduction	
the monitoring sites in SJV	F-51
Table C.4. Madeline demain neverters	O F
Table G-1 Modeling domain parameters	G-5
Table G-2 Inventory terms for emission source types	G-7
Table G-3 Day of week variation factors	
Table G-4 Daily variation factors	
Table G-6 Registration Data Vehicle Type Classes.	. G-22
Table G-7 Vehicle classification and type of adjustment	. G-24
Table G-8 NOx Reductions (TPD) by Air Basin and Program for 2037	. G-20
Table G-9 List indicating ERG developed spatial surrogates for the state of Baja California	C 22
	. G-3∠ C-33
Table G-10 List of EPA's Mexico surrogates as of May 2018	
Table G-11 Day-of-week adjustment by vehicle class and county	. G-40

Table G-12 Daily adjustment for Heavy Duty Trucks (HH) by county
Table G-13 Hour-of-day profiles for LD and LM vehicle types in counties Fresno, Kern,
Kings, and MaderaG-67
Table G-14 Hour-of-day profiles for LD and LM vehicle types in counties Merced, San
Joaquin, Stanislaus, and TulareG-74
Table G-15 Hour-of-day profiles (Sunday July 1 to Saturday July 8) for Heavy Heavy
Duty vehicles by countyG-81
Table G-16 OGV Monthly Profiles G-89
Table G-17 OGV Weekly ProfilesG-90
Table G-18 Primary surrogate assignment at the EICSUM level, description, and data
sourceG-91
Table H-1 Recent Design Values for Sites Currently Operating in the SJV (in ppb) H-6
Table H-2 2016 Wildfires active in June through October
Table H-3 2017 Wildfires active in June through November
Table H-4 2018 Wildfires active in June through November H-26
Table H-5 2019 Wildfires active in June through November H-26
Table H-6 2020 Wildfires active in July through December
Table H-7 2016 through 2020 List of Dates and Sites Affected by Wildfires H-29
Table H-8 Adjusted Design Values Compared to the Official Design Values for the High
Sites Currently Operating in the SJV (in ppb)
Table H-9 List of Observed variables used in Python sklearn Random Forest H-45
Table H-10 Average Weekend Effect H-70
Table H-11 2021 List of Dates and Sites Affected by Wildfires H-72
Table H-12 Preliminary Look: 2019-2021 Design Values (in ppb) H-73
Table I-1 Estimated NOx Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset UseI-5
Table I-2 Estimated VOC Growth, Control, and Estimated Offset UseI-7
Table I-3 List of Emission Reduction Credits NOx and VOCI-9
Table 14 CCAA Dequirements for Triognial Plan Devisions
Table J-1 CCAA Requirements for Triennial Plan Revisions
Table J-2 Adopted District Rules Achieving Reductions from Stationary Sources in and